D	11	D	<b>C</b>
r	U	D	

CONSTRUCTION

COMMISSION

爲生態環境把關



# **Earnest**

賡續研擬公共工程技術規範,落實生態工程及公共工程資源回收再利用政策的推動,以撙節工程資源的不當消耗,並為永續自然生態環境多盡一分力量。

Continued formulation of public construction technical standards and implementation of eco-engineering and pubic construction resource-recycling policies, preventing the improper consumption of construction resources and doing our utmost to achieve a sustainable natural environment.

## 爭議處理業務 Dispute Resolution

- 壹、採購申訴審議業務
- 一、申訴機制
- (一)申訴案件係審議招標機關在辦理招標、審 標、決標過程與結果及其通知廠商將予停 權處分之行為有無違反政府採購相關法 令。
- (二)廠商對於公告金額(新臺幣100萬元)以上 之採購案,認為招標機關辦理採購違反法 令,經於法定期限内以書面向招標機關提 出異議,而不服招標機關之異議處理結 果,或招標機關於法定15日期限不為處理 者,得於15日内向該管申訴會申訴。
- (三)廠商認為招標機關依政府採購法第101條第 1項各款所為之停權通知有違法或不實者, 經於法定期限内以書面向招標機關提出異 議,而無論該案件是否逾公告金額,對於 招標機關之異議處理結果不服,或招標機 關於15日期限不為處理者,均得於15日内 向該管申訴會申訴。
- (四)申訴審議結果須作成審議判斷,該審議判 斷視同訴願決定。廠商對於申訴審議判斷 不服者,得向該管高等行政法院提起行政 訴訟。
- (五)申訴會受理廠商之申訴,對於廠商參與政府採購事務提供申訴管道,因對招標機關之招標文件規定、招標過程及決標結果是否合法作成審議判斷,以促成招標、審標、決標作業趨向公平嚴謹,具有維護公正、公平、公開之招標作業環境及兼顧政府採購效益,並符合我國加入世界貿易組織簽訂政府採購協定之政策目標。

#### **I. Review of Procurement Complaints**

#### **1. Complaint Mechanism**

- (1) Complaint cases are reviewed to determine whether bid tendering agencies have violated laws related to government procurement during the bid tendering, bid screening, or bid awarding processes, or in notifying a company of the cancellation of bidding rights.
- (2) If a company feels that the tendering agency for a bid with an announced value of NT\$1 million or more has violated the law in its handling of the procurement case, it may submit a written objection to the tendering agency within the legally stipulated time limit. If the company does not accept the results of the tendering agency's handling of the objection, or if the tendering agency fails to handle the objection within the legally prescribed time limit of 15 days, the company may, within the following 15 days, file a complaint with the Complaint Review Board for Government Procurement.
- (3) If a company feels that the notification of cancellation of rights by a tendering agency violates the stipulations of Paragraph 1 of Article 101 of the Government Procurement Law, it may file a written objection with the tendering agency within the legally stipulated time limit; whether or not the case exceeds the announced amount, if the company does not accept the tendering agency's handling of the objection, or if the tendering agency fails to handle the objection within the legally stipulated time limit of 15 days, then the company may, within the following 15 days, file a complaint with the Complaint Review Board.
- (4) The results of a complaint review must be codified into a review judgment, which is viewed as an appeal decision. If a company does not accept a review decision regarding its complaint, it may file an administrative appeal with the Superior Court of Administrative Appeal.
- (5) The Complaint Review Board provides a channel for the filing of complaints by companies participating in government procurement. Because the Board produces judgments about whether the bidding document rules for tendering agencies, bid-tendering procedures, and awarding of bids are legal, the tendering, screening, and awarding of bids have become more fair and rigorous, and a just, fair, and open bid-tendering environment has been maintained while the efficiency of government procurement has also been taken into consideration. This is in conformity with Taiwan's policy goal of signing the Government Procurement Agreement following its accession to the World Trade Organization.

二、相關統計

採購申訴案件辦理成效表 (88.05.27—95 Private Participation Complaint Cases (Ma	
處理狀態 Status	案件數 Amount of Complaint Cases
收案總數 Complaint Cases Received	3,553

處理終結 Complaint Cases Resolved	3,409
處理中案件 Complaint Cases in Process	144

#### ▶ 採購申訴案件處理情形 (88.05.27-95.12.31)

#### Procurement Results of Complaint Cases (May 27, 1999 — Dec. 31, 2006)

實體終結 1,669 Substantially Resolved 1,669	有理由 Sustain	785
	部分有理由 Partially Sustain	91
	無理由 Overrule	793
程序終結 Procedurally Resolved	1,740	
處理中 In Process	144	
合計 Total	3,553	

#### 貳、履約爭議調解業務

#### 一、調解機制

- (一)機關與廠商因履約爭議未能達成協議者,
   得向申訴會申請調解:其屬廠商申請者,
   機關不得拒絶。
- (二)調解之程序及效力,除政府採購法令有規 定外,準用民事訴訟法有關調解之規定, 故調解成立者,具有訴訟上和解同一效力 (即與確定判決有同一效力)。

#### **II. Mediation of Contract Disputes**

#### 1. Mechanism

- (1) When a government agency and a company are unable to reach an agreement over a contract performance dispute, they may apply to the Complaint Review Board for mediation. The agency concerned may not reject such an application submitted by a company.
- (2) In the absence of Government Procurement Law provisions to the contrary, the stipulations of the Code of Civil Procedure regarding mediation will be applied to the procedures and effects of mediation; the results of mediation, therefore, have the same effect as amicable settlements reached under legal procedures (that is, the effect is the same as a legal verdict).

二、相關統計

調解案件辦理情形表1 (88.05.27—95.12.31) Mediation Cases (May 27, 1999 — Dec. 31, 2006)			
處理狀態 Status	案件數 Amount of Complaint Cases		
收案總數 Complaint Cases Received	4,544		
處理終結 Complaint Cases Resolved	4,107		
處理中案件 Complaint Cases in Process	437		
▲ 調解案件辦理情形表2(88.05.27—95.12.31)			

### 調解案件辦理情形表2(88.05.27—95.12.31) Results of Mediation (May 27, 1999 — Dec. 31, 2006)

實體終結 3380 Substantially Resolved 3380	成立 Agree	2,285
	不成立 Disagree	1,095
	待確定 Waiting for Answer	0
程序終結 Procedurally Resolved	727	
處理中 In Process	437	
合計 Total	4,544	

說明: 實體終結以申訴會委員會議通過為準,當調解案件經申訴會委員會議通過提出調解方案者,依政府採購法第85條之4第2頃規定當事人得於 送達後10日之不變期間内向申訴會提出異議,此種情形視為調解不成立,如未依期限提出異議者,視為已依該方案成立調解,故提出調解 方案之案件有一段待確定期間。

Note: The substantial revision of a case is based on the determination made by the Complaint Review Board. When a mediation case is accepted and a mediation project is proposed by the Board, the parties involved may, in accordance with the stipulations of Article 85-4, Item 2 of the Government Procurement Law, file an objection with the Board within 10 days after receive the notification. In this case, the mediation is regarded as not having been reached. If no objection is filed within the above-mentioned of time, the mediation is regarded as having been established in accordance with the proposed project. Cases for which a mediation program has been proposed, therefore, have a period of waiting time.

#### **参、促參申訴審議業務**

#### 一、申訴機制

(一)依據民間參與公共建設法第47條第1項、 民間參與公共建設申請及審核程序爭議處 理規則第7條規定,參與公共建設之申請人 對於促進民間參與公共建設案件之申請及 審核程序認為違反法令,經於法定期限内 以書面向主辦機關提出異議,而不服主辦 機關之異議處理結果,或主辦機關於法定 20日期限不為處理者,得於30日内向本會 申訴。

#### **III.** Review of Complaints Regarding the Promotion of Private Investment in Infrastructure Projects

#### **1. The Complaint Mechanism**

(1) According to the provisions of Paragraph 1 of Article 47 of the Law for the Promotion of Private Participation in Infrastructure Projects, and Article 7 of the Regulations Governing the Resolution of Disputes Regarding the Application and Approval Process for Private Participation in Infrastructure Projects, an applicant for participation in infrastructure projects who feels that the application or approval process violates the law, who submits a written objection to the agency in charge within the legally stipulated period of time, and who does not accept the resolution of the objection by the agency in charge, or in case the agency in charge fails to resolve the objection within the legally stipulated 20 days, may submit a complaint to the PCC within 30 days.

- (二)申訴審議結果須作成審議判斷,該審議判 斷視同訴願決定。申請人對於申訴審議判 斷不服者,得向該管高等行政法院提起行 政訴訟。
- (三)申訴會受理申請人之申訴,對於申請人參與主辦機關辦理由政府規劃公告徵求民間參與或由民間自行規劃申請參與公共建設事務提供申訴管道,因對主辦機關之公告徵求民間參與文件規定、申請及審核之過程、決定或結果是否合法作成審議判斷,以促使公告徵求、審核、決定作業趨向公平嚴謹,具有提升公共服務水準,加速社會經濟發展,促進民間參與公共建設之政策目標。
- (2) The results of a complaint review are codified into a review judgment, which is viewed as an appeal decision. If an applicant does not accept a review judgment regarding its complaint, it may file an administrative appeal with the Superior Court of Administrative Appeal.
- (3) The Complaint Review Board provides a channel for the filing of complaints by private companies participating in infrastructure projects, whether those projects are planned by the government and announced to solicit private participation or are planned by the private companies themselves. Because the Board generates review judgments about the legality of private participation document rules for projects announced by the agencies in charge, application and approval procedures, and judgments or results, the announced solicitation of private participation, screening, and decision-making processes are becoming more fair and more rigorous. This has the effect of heightening public service standards, boosting socioeconomic development, and encouraging private participation in infrastructure projects.

#### 二、相關統計

#### 。 促參申訴案件辦理成效表(89.05.17—95.12.31) Private Participation Complaint Cases (May 17, 2000 — Dec. 31, 2006)

處理狀態 Status	案件數 Amount of Complaint Cases
收案總數 Complaint Cases Received	25
處理終結 Complaint Cases Resolved	21
處理中案件 Complaint Cases in Process	4

#### 促參申訴案件處理情形(89.05.17-95.12.31) Results of Disposition of Private Participation Complaint Cases (May 17, 2000 — Dec. 31, 2005)

	有理由 Sustain	8
實體終結 15 Substantially Resolved 15	部分有理由 Partially Sustain	1
	無理由 Overrule	6
程序終結 Procedurally Resolved	6	
處理中 In Process	4	
合計 Total	25	